

State Medicaid and CHIP Income Eligibility Standards¹ (For MAGI Groups, based on state decisions as of July 1, 2014)

	(For MAGI Groups, based on state decisions as of July 1, 2014) Children Pregnant Women Adults								
	Medicaid	Medicaid	Medicaid	Separate CHIP ³	Medicaid		Parents ⁴	Other	Medicaid
	Ages 0-1 ²	Ages 1-5 ²	Ages 6-18 ²	Separate Chir	iviedicaid	CHIP	Parents	Adults	Expansion
Alabama	141%	141%	141%	312%	141%	N/A	13%	0%	N
Alaska ⁵	203%	203%	203%	N/A	200%	N/A	129%	0% ⁶	N
Arizona	147%	141%	133%	200% (closed)	156%	N/A N/A	133%		Y
Arkansas	211%	211%	211%	N/A	209%	N/A N/A	133%	133% 133%	Y
California	261%	261%	261%	N/A	208%	N/A N/A	133%	133%	Y
Colorado	142%	142%	142%	260%	195%	260%	133%	133%	Y
Connecticut	196%	196%	196%	318%	258%	N/A	196%	133%	Y
Delaware	212%	142%	133%	212% (1-18)	212%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
District of	319%	319%	319%	N/A	319%	N/A	216%	210%	Y
Columbia	31370	31370	313/0	IV/A	31370	14/5	210/0	210/0	
Florida	206%	140%	133%	210% (1-18)	191%	N/A	30%	0% ⁶	N
Georgia	205%	149%	133%	247%	220%	N/A	35%	0%	N
Hawaii ⁵	308%	308%	308%	N/A	191%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Idaho	142%	142%	133%	185%	133%	N/A	24%8	9	N N
						•		4220/	
Illinois	142%	142%	142%	313%	208%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Indiana	208%	158%	158%	250%	208%	N/A	20%8	4220/	N
lowa	375%	167%	167%	302% (1-18)	375%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
Kansas	166%	149%	133%	242%	166%	N/A	33%	0%	N
Kentucky	195%	159%	159%	213%	195%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
Louisiana	212%	212%	212%	250%	133%	N/A	19%8	9	N
Maine	191%	157%	157%	208%	209%	N/A	100%	6	N
Maryland	317%	317%	317%	N/A	259%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
Massachusetts	200%	150%	150%	300%	200% 10	200% ¹⁰	133%	133% ⁶	Υ
Michigan	195%	160%	160%	212%	195%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
Minnesota	283%	275%	275%	N/A	278%	N/A	200% ¹¹	200% 11	Υ
Mississippi	194%	143%	133%	209%	194%	N/A	22%	0%	N
Missouri	196%	150%	150%	300%	196%	N/A	18% ⁸	9	N
Montana	143%	143%	143%	261%	157%	N/A	47%	9	N
Nebraska	213%	213%	213%	N/A	194%	N/A	57%	0%	N
Nevada	160%	160%	133%	200%	160%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
New	318%	318%	318%	N/A	196%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Hampshire	310/0	320/0	31070	14/7	130%	,,,	13370	13370	•
New Jersey	194%	142%	142%	350%	194%	200%	133%	133%	Υ
New Mexico	300%	300%	240%	N/A	250%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
New York	218%	149%	149%	400%	218%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
North Carolina	210%	210%	133%	211% (6-18)	196%	N/A	45%	0%6	N
North Dakota			133%	170%		-	133%	133%	
	147%	147%			147%	N/A			Y
Ohio	206%	206%	206%	N/A	200%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Oklahoma	205%	205%	205%	N/A	133%	N/A	42% ⁸	,	N
Oregon	185%	133%	133%	300%	185%	N/A	133%	133%	Υ
Pennsylvania	215%	157%	133%	314%	215%	N/A	33%	0% ⁶	N
Rhode Island	261%	261%	261%	N/A	190%	253%	133%	133%	Y
South Carolina	208%	208%	208%	N/A	194%	N/A	62%	0%	N
South Dakota	182%	182%	182%	204%	133%	N/A	58%	0%	N
Tennessee	195%	142%	133%	250%	195%	N/A	105%	0%	N
Texas	198%	144%	133%	201%	198%	N/A	15%	0%	N
Utah	139%	139%	133%	200%	139%	N/A	51% ⁸	9	N
Vermont	312%	312%	312%	312%	208%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Virginia	143%	143%	143%	200%	143%	N/A	49%	0%	N N
Washington	210%	210%	210%	312%	193%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
						-			
West Virginia	158%	141%	133%	300%	158%	N/A	133%	133%	Y
Wisconsin	301%	186%	151%	301% (1-18)	301%	N/A	95% ⁸	95%	N
Wyoming	154%	154%	133%	200%	154%	N/A	56%	0%	N

Source: Eligibility levels in effect based on information current as of July 1, 2014 provided to CMS by states. CMS used the data provided for purposes of FFM programming for the following states: AL, AK, AZ, AR, DE, FL, GA, ID,IL, IN, IA, KS, LA, ME, MI, MS, MO, MT, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NC, ND, OH, OK, PA, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, WV, WI and WY. CMS used information from approved state plan amendments for the following states: CO, CT, DC, HI, IA, KY, MD, MA, MN, NV, NY, OR, RI, VT, and WA. CMS used information from state plan amendments that have been submitted to CMS but not yet approved for CA and IL. These levels are subject to change.

¹ For these eligibility groups, an individual's income, computed based on the new Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI)-based income rules and adjusted by a 5% disregard, is compared to the income standards identified in this table to determine if they are income eligible for Medicaid or CHIP. Other eligibility criteria also apply, for example, with respect to citizenship, immigration status and residency. Note that this table reflects the principal but not all MAGI coverage groups. To learn more about the MAGI standards, see http://medicaid.gov/AffordableCareAct/Medicaid-Moving-Forward-2014/Downloads/Modified-Adjusted-Gross-Income-and-Medicaid-CHIP.pdf

² These eligibility standards include CHIP-funded Medicaid expansions.

³ Children in separate CHIP programs are typically charged premiums. This table does not include notations of states that have elected to provide CHIP coverage from conception to birth.

⁴ In states that used dollar amounts rather than percentages of the federal poverty level (FPL) for 2013 to determine eligibility for parents, we converted those amounts to a percentage of the FPL and selected the highest percentage to reflect the eligibility level for the group. In addition, in states that are adopting the Medicaid expansion, we have indicated the upper income limit for parents to also be 133% of the FPL, since parents can be eligible for coverage under the new adult group. The actual dollar standards that states will use to determine eligibility are quoted in the monthly income tables.

⁵ The dollar values that represent the FPLs in Alaska and Hawaii are higher than in the contiguous 48 states. For example, as of 2014, 100% of the FPL for a family of four is equal to \$29,820 in Alaska and \$27,430 in Hawaii, compared to \$23,850 in the other 48 states.

⁶ The state covers some 19 and 20 year olds – AK (129%), FL (30%), ME (156%), MA (150%), NY (150%), NC (46%), PA (33%).

⁷ California has a separate CHIP program in four counties that covers children up to 317% of the FPL in three of the counties and 411% of the FPL in one of the counties.

⁸ Reflects parent coverage under the Medicaid state plan. The state has some additional coverage above state plan eligibility standards through a section 1115 demonstration. The demonstration includes limitations on eligibility and/or benefits, is not offered to all residents of the state, and/or includes an enrollment cap.

⁹ The state has a section 1115 demonstration that provides Medicaid coverage to some low-income adults. The demonstration includes limitations on eligibility and/or benefits, is not offered to all residents of the state, and/or includes an enrollment cap.

¹⁰ Massachusetts provides Medicaid coverage to pregnant women with eligible immigration statuses who have incomes up to 200% of the FPL and CHIP coverage to pregnant women with incomes up to 200% of the FPL who do not qualify for Medicaid due to their immigration status.

¹¹ Parents and adults with incomes between 133 and 200% of the FPL are covered through a section 1115 demonstration.